

LEWIS COSBY and KENNETH R. MARTIN,)
as beneficiary of the Kenneth Ray Martin Roth)
IRA, on behalf of themselves and all others)
similarly situated,) CLASS ACTION
)
Plaintiffs,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)
v.) No. 3:16-cv-00121
)
KPMG, LLP and SCOTT M. BORUFF,)
)
Defendants.)

Gordon Ball
GORDON BALL PLLC
550 W. Main Street
Suite 600
Knoxville, TN 37902
Tel: (865) 525-7028
Fax: (865) 525-4679
gball@gordonball.com

Steven J. Toll
COHEN MILSTEIN
SELLERS & TOLL PLLC
1100 New York Ave NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: 202-408-4600
Fax: 202-408-4699
stoll@cohenmilstein.com

Case 3:16-cv-00121-TAV-DCP Document 36-1 Filed 05/09/17 Page 1 of 4 PageID #: 490

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. NATURE OF ACTION	6
II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.....	9
III. PARTIES	9
A. Lead Plaintiffs	9
B. Auditor Defendant – KPMG.....	10
C. Individual Defendant – Boruff.....	11
D. Non-parties Whose Acts/Omissions Are Pertinent to this Action.....	11
IV. MILLER'S UNPRECEDENTED PERIOD OF GROWTH: 2008-2011	13
A. Background of Miller Energy.....	13
B. The Purchase of the Alaska Assets	14
C. Boruff and Senior Management Grossly Overstated the Value of the Alaska Assets	15
D. Initial Concerns Arise About Valuation of Alaska Assets.....	15
E. The Inflated Valuation of Alaska Assets Leads to an Unprecedented Period of Growth for Miller Energy	17
F. Litigation By Shareholders Against Miller Energy and Senior Management for Overstating Value of Alaska Assets Filed and Settled.....	18
G. "The Blind Leading the Blind" - the Valuation of the Alaska Assets Was Based Upon a Reserves Report that Did Not Represent "Fair Value"	19
V. KPMG'S ROLE IN THE MILLER ENERGY "HOUSE OF CARDS"	21
A. KPMG Is Retained on February 1, 2011.....	21
B. KPMG Failed to Conduct a Proper Audit of the Alaska Assets by Merely Attempting to Justify Miller Energy's Own Valuation	26

C. KPMG Knowingly or Recklessly and Systematically Endorsed Miller Energy's Inflated Valuation of the Alaska Assets	28
D. KPMG Knowingly or Recklessly Concealed the Artificially-Inflated Valuation of the Alaska Assets	31
E. KPMG Ignored Serious “Red Flags” That Should Have Placed a Reasonable Auditor on Notice That Miller Energy Was Engaged in Wrongdoing to the Detriment of its Investors.....	33
VI. DISMANTLING OF THE MILLER ENERGY HOUSE OF CARDS: 2013-2016	40
VII. KPMG'S LACK OF INDEPENDENCE.....	46
VIII. KPMG'S VIOLATIONS OF GENERALLY ACCEPTED AUDITING STANDARDS ("GAAS").....	52
A. Overview of GAAS Requirements	52
B. KPMG's Audits Violated GAAS	54
IX. GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES REQUIRED BORUFFAND MILLER ENERGY TO RECORD THE ALASKA ASSETS AT FAIR VALUE.....	63
A. Overview of GAAP Requirements	63
B. Miller Energy's Class Period Financial Statements Violated GAAP.....	64
X. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS.....	66
XI. ADDITIONAL SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS.....	69
XII. LOSS CAUSATION AND DAMAGES	72
XIII. CAUSES OF ACTION.....	83
COUNT ONE - Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5(b) Promulgated Thereunder (Against Boruff Only).....	83
COUNT TWO - Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c) Promulgated Thereunder (Against Boruff Only)	86

COUNT THREE - Violation of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Against Boruff Only)	88
COUNT FOUR - Violation of § 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10(b)-5(b) Promulgated Thereunder (Against KPMG Only)	89
COUNT FIVE - Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5(a) and (c) Promulgated Thereunder (Against KPMG Only)	93
XIV. JURY TRIAL DEMAND	95
XV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF	95